

Digital Evidence Receives Federal Court Appointment to Conduct Forensic Audio Evidence Analysis in USA v. Pellicano

Los Angeles, California – August 1, 2006

The United States District Court, Central District of California appointed Digital Evidence Legal Video Services to conduct forensic audio evidence analysis relative to audio evidence recordings in the matter of USA v. Pellicano.

Chief Justice, M. Margaret McKeown signed the order appointing the Southern California firm to the high-profile case on August 1, 2006.

The scope of the firm's forensic assignment includes enhancing audio evidence recordings, along with generating transcripts from the enhanced audio evidence recordings on behalf of Pellicano co-defendant, Daniel Nicherie.

Mr. Nicherie is charged with committing alleged acts of fraud, and allegations of aiding and abetting the infamous "Private Investigator-to-the-Stars," Anthony Pellicano, with wiretapping.

The Federal government's indictment alleges Mr. Nicherie hired Mr. Pellicano to wiretap and record telephone conversations of Los Angeles businessman, Ami Shafir.

Chief Investigator Was Advocate for Digital Evidence' Court Appointment

Chief Investigator, Jan B. Tucker was the catalyst for the "tech-savvy" firm's appointment to assist the defense team with seeking justice for their client.

Digital Evidence' CEO, Michael Jones said, "I met Mr. Tucker while attending a continuing legal education seminar hosted by the California Association of Licensed Investigators, in 2005.

Chief Investigator, Tucker recommended our firm for the court appointment because he was impressed with our firm's policy of mandatory continuing legal education, coupled with our credentials and reputation for conducting high-tech forensic audio and video evidence analysis.

At Digital Evidence, not only do we earnestly strive to provide high-tech evidence solutions to our clientele, but we endeavor to know the legal aspects relative to our area of practice.

Let's face it, the law voluminous, and it would be pretty unrealistic to expect attorneys and investigators to know every section of law related to technology.

Our goal is to ease our clientele's burden by assisting them with staying apprised of the Federal and State statutes relative to audio and video evidence applications.

Practitioners in California, Texas, Washington, DC, Arizona, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and North Carolina, retain our services, "Jones concluded.